

# Performance Comparison of Various Learning Algorithms for Prediction of CVD Risk among OSA Patients

R. Priyadharshini<sup>1</sup>, V. Sathiyamoorthi<sup>2</sup>, Sachin Kumar Gupta<sup>3,\*</sup>, and M. Najim<sup>4</sup>

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 23 December 2023 Revised: 10 May 2024 Accepted: 29 June 2024 Online: 30 June 2025

#### Keywords:

Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) Cardiovascular Diseases (CVD) SHHS data Learning algorithms Performance metrics

#### $A\,B\,S\,T\,R\,A\,C\,T$

Sleep is an essential part of life but if breathing is disturbed while sleeping it may lead to a sleep disorder called sleep apnea which is influenced by factors like obesity, lifestyle, consumption of alcohol age, etc. Inadequate management of sleep apnea can lead to diabetes, hypertension, cardiomyopathy, stroke, loud snoring, and exhaustion throughout the day. OSA is the term for partial or total upper airway blockage that occurs during sleep. When our brain detects that we are not breathing, it briefly wakes us up to reopen our airway. About five to thirty times will occur each hour of sleep. This may lead to CVD and even fatal death. So, we need to design a system to monitor the OSA severity as well as its consequence of CVD risk. To evaluate the current machine and deep learning models, an intelligent model would compare the results to obtain the best-performing models and can be used for classifying CVD risks of apnea patients. Support Vector Machine, Random Forest, Naïve Bayes, Decision Tree (DT), Artificial Neural Networks, 1D-Convolutional Neural Networks, VGG16, ResNet50, ResNet100, and ResNet101were trained for the detection and classification of OSA and CVD using SHHS data. The data need to be pre-processed using Principal Component Analysis to remove unwanted data and the model is trained afterward. Then best-performing model in comparison to other models is selected and used for the three classifications of CVD risk into risk, no risk, and high risk. Under different testing and training data ratios, the ML classifier (DT) achieved 82.625% accuracy, 82.4% in sensitivity, and 81.3% in specificity on average. In the same manner, the DL classifier (ResNet101) achieved 82 % accuracy, 82% in sensitivity, and 81.725% in specificity on average.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), the sleep disorder affects thousands of people annually in India alone [1]. Cyclic partial or total shutting of the upper nozzle during sleep is its defining feature. These asphyxia events result in acute physiologic stressors such as arterial oxygen desaturation, acute hypertension and spikes in sympathetic activity. Hundreds of times during night, a patient with moderate-tosevere OSA may experience the mentioned symptoms. Although sleep disorders have been described for almost 200 years, diagnosis and intervention have not been given importance in treatment choices until the last 20 years. Observational studies initially suggested a connection between OSA and cardiovascular illness by associating snoring—a proxy for OSA—with a rise in cardiac events. The existence and severity of OSA are linked to increased cardiovascular disease and systemic hypertension, based on later research. As of right now, OSA is believed to be involved in the pathophysiology of arrhythmias, cerebrovascular events, pulmonary hypertension, acute coronary syndromes, and congestive heart failure [2]. Numerous studies with different algorithms and sleep apnea data were observed. To assess the OSA and CVD risk, several sleep databases were used, including the Sleep Heart Health Study (SHHS), Physio net, as well as Apnea ECG databases. To treat OSA patients at the outset, an earlier estimation of their CVD risk is necessary.

The cardiovascular risk of OSA patients is predicted using a variety of ML and DL methods. 81 guys with stable heart failure underwent polysomnography, and 11% of them had OSA [3]. Random forest model was used on SHHS data and achieved a specificity of 73.94% and sensitivity of 81.82%, respectively but people aged 65+ were considered for the study not the younger population [4]. ANN classifier was used with ECG (Electrocardiogram), and EEG

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science Engineering, Sona College of Technology, Salem, Tamil Nadu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science Engineering, Government Polytechnic College, Baisuhalli, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Central University of Jammu, Samba-181143, Jammu (UT of J&K), India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>University of Jeddah, College of Engineering, Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Sachin Kumar Gupta; Email: sachin.ece@cujammu.ac.in.

(Electroencephalogram) signal and achieved 99.97% accuracy but it requires more resources for processing [5]. The same ANN model with an ECG signal achieved 92.3% accuracy but energy consumption is high [6]. Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Stacked auto encoder-based deep neural network (SAE-DNN) were employed on the Apnea ECG database, University College Dublin (UCD) database, and Physio net challenge database and achieved 94.3% accuracy for per-recording-based sleep apnea classification and 72% accuracy for normal patients, but the computational complexity of a real-time scenario is not considered [7]. KNN (K Nearest Neighbor), SVM, MLPNN (Multilayer Perceptron Neural Network), and least-square support vector machine (LS-SVM) classifiers were employed on the Apnea-ECG dataset results in 97.14% accuracy for SVM and LS-SVM classifiers [8]. SVM model on the Apnea-ECG database with ECG, HRV (Heart Rate Variability), and EDR (ECG Derived Respiration) signals achieved 90.52% accuracy and specificity of 93.4% but the model requires more attributes for the classification [9]. The SVM classifier on the SHHS database achieved 90% accuracy but performance is affected by bio-signals quality [10]. The same SVM classifier with ECG Signals on the Apnea-ECG database achieved 95.57% accuracy, 98.64% sensitivity, and 92.51% specificity but there will be a high processing delay [11]. Carolina Varon et al., 2015 used an SVM classifier with single-lead ECG signals and achieved an accuracy of 85-90% but suffers from communication overhead [12]. Haitham M. et al., 2012 used an SVM classifier on the SHHS dataset and achieved an 82.4% accuracy but more training time is needed for a large dataset [13].

Decision tree classifier for MIT-BIH (Massachusetts Institute of Technology-Beth Israel Hospital) Polysomnographic data (18 ECG signals) achieved 90% precision, 98.53% accuracy, 96.86% specificity, 98.39% sensitivity, and an overall 93.2% F-score results in high processing delay under real-time scenarios [14]. The decision tree classifier and data from the type III Cadwell Apnea Trak TM HSAT system allowed sensors placed under mattresses as a substitute for obtrusive regular sensors to achieve 86.96% accuracy with 81.82% sensitivity and 91.67% specificity correspondingly. However, the sensors consume a lot of energy [15]. The University of California Irvine Machine Learning (UCI-ML) dataset using an ANN model optimizes execution time, however, it does not include performance evaluations for crucial QoS metrics like power consumption and latency [16]. ANN model with a database of 8 subjects with one-minute annotation from the Apnea-ECG database achieved 97.7% accuracy but suffered from high computational complexity and required more time for training [17]. CNN (Convolutional Neural Network) model based on ECG signal on Apnea-ECG database produced 94% accuracy and 88% sensitivity but requires more attributes for training the network [18]. An accuracy

of 99.56% and sensitivity of 96.05% were attained by the CNN model using ECG signals from wearable devices; however, performance was not stable as the data size scaled [19]. In the instance of per-minute apnea detection, the CNN model with the single-lead 1D ECG signals on MIT Physio Net Apnea-ECG Database achieved accuracy of 87.9%, specificity of 92.0%, and sensitivity of 81.1% in other cases, however, communication overhead rose [20]. UCI-ML Cleveland dataset with CNN model and achieved an accuracy of 94.78% but it is not suitable for large-scale datasets [21]. CNN model with single lead ECG signals on Apnea-ECG Database in which classification accuracy in increased by 9% suffers from high computational burden [22]. CNN model using Sleep Health Heart Study (SHHS) single-channel EEG recordings A higher accuracy of 69.9% was obtained with the MIT-BIH Polysomnographic Database, Visit 2 database, and St. Vincent's University Hospital / University College Dublin Sleep Apnea Database [23]. However, training the model took longer. It is necessary to move the study in future directions to develop deeper learning classifiers, which will result in more reliable OSA and CVD diagnosis tools.

The novelty in this research work is only a few authors considered a single CVD risk level of patients in the literature, but our work is based on classifying multiple risk levels of patients with sleep apnea into no risk, risk, and high risk. This paper covers existing techniques undertaken for classifying and detecting CVD-OSA risk. The paper takes SHHS polysomnography data was used as input, and ten powerful and popular Machine Learning and Deep Learning algorithms like Decision tree, Random Forest, Naïve Bayes, VGG 16, SVM, 1D-CNN, ANN, ResNet50, ResNet100 and ResNet101 were tried to predict CVD risk of OSA patients. Each model was validated with an increased test data set (20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%), and performance metrics were calculated. From the performance metrics under different test data sets, two best-performing models were selected. The work's restriction is Popular machine learning methods can predict heart-related problems only in sleep apnea patients. The model does not predict every other cardiac condition.

The article is structured in the following order: Section 1 details Introduction to OSA and CVD, along with a list of some publications describing the risks of CVD associated with OSA. Section 2 provides a detailed explanation of dataset used for model training and analysing different powerful deep and machine learning models. At last, Section 3 concludes the research work with which models are performing better and how the future work can be enhanced.

#### 2. METHODS

# 2.1 Dataset

SHHS, a public dataset obtained as requested from NSRR (National Sleep Research Resource) is used [24]. The

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute first developed SHHS dataset to identify any CVD and related events related to sleep-breathing dysfunction. Sleep-associated breathing disorders are strongly linked to higher mortality rates, hypertension, stroke, and coronary heart disease.

The SHHS dataset includes information from 6441 individuals' initial and follow-up check-up visits, which were conducted between 1995 and 1998. Nine current epidemiological research types were used to find subjects; these studies have previously gathered data on cardiovascular risk factors. The Framingham Offspring Cohort, The Strong Heart Study sites in South Dakota, Arizona, and Oklahoma, The Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) sites in Hagerstown, Sacramento, and Pittsburgh, The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study sites in Minneapolis/St. Paul, Hagerstown, and Studies of respiratory illness in Tucson and hypertension in New York were among the parent group studies.

The experimented issues met the necessary criteria of being at least 40 years old, having never had a sleep apnea treatment history, not having a recent home oxygen remedy, and not having a tracheostomy. They were asked to take part in the first polysomnogram as part of the SHHS baseline survey. Oversampled snorers were included in a few cohorts, which increased research into the prevalence of respiratory dysfunction-related sleep disorders. The demography details of SHHS-1 are depicted in Table 1.

Gender	Male			Female		
	2765			3039		
Age	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	>85
	342	1017	1769	1617	955	104
Ethnicity	Hispanic or Latino			Non-Hispanic or Latino		
	280			5524		
Education (years)	<10	11-15	16-20	>20		
	435	2750	1913	223		
Statistics of Age	N	Mean	SD	Median	Min	Max
SHHS	5,804	63.1	± 11.2	63	39	90
Outcomes of CVD	10,641	66.2	± 10.8	67	39	90

Table 1: Demography details of SHHS-1

The PSG recording table comprised of,

- The EEG of C3/A2 and C4/A1 was tested at 125 Hz.
- The electrooculograms (EOGs) in both eyes were tested at 50 Hz.

- The bipolar submental electromyogram (EMG) was tested at 125 Hz.
- The Plethysmography bands were sampled at 10 Hz to record thoracic and abdominal excursions by induction.
- The Protec nasal-oral thermocouple was tested at 10 Hz.
- The Nonin fingertip pulse oximetry was tested at 1 Hz.
- The ECG with bipolar leads was tested at 125 Hz for SHHS. Heart rate (PR Interval), which is recorded at 1 Hz, from the ECG.
- The mercury gauge sensor for different body positions (e.g., supine and non-supine), and
- The ambient light detected by the light sensor
- The bipolar submental electromyogram (EMG), which tested at 125 Hz.
- Blood pressure, blood, ECG, sleep architecture, and HRV are employed as goal variables, whereas lung function, oxygen parameters, hypopnea, OSA respiratory episodes, and sleep architecture are used as causal factors. Sleep arousals and CVD outcomes are used as labels. The details of the SHHS Polysomnography data that were used in this investigation are listed below. Heart Rate with Arousal for REM and NREM, all oxy, deoxy sat Supine, Average, Minimum, Maximum feature with size of 6, Apnea-Hypopnea Index (AHI), with no distinction and Obstructive feature with size of 8, and  $\geq 3\%$  oxygen desaturation feature with variable type of 2. Number of REM, NREM, >=3% oxygen desaturation feature cases with and without arousals, with a variable type of 9, and similarly a total of 73 features with 316 variables were used for the study.

# 2.2 Investigation of ML and DL Methods for Prediction of OSA and CVD

The algorithms: Support Vector Machine(SVM) [25], Decision Tree (DT) [14], Random Forest(RF)[26], Naïve Bayes(NB) [27], Artificial Neural Networks(ANN) [28].1D-Convolutional Neural Network(1D-CNN)[29]. VGG16[30], ResNet50[31], ResNet100 [31] and ResNet101[31] were trained for the detection and classification of CVD and OSA using SHHS data (https:// sleepdata.org/datasets/shhs/files). From the results, it was found that DT and ResNet101 performed better. Figure 1 shows the proposed framework analysis. Raw SHHS data is pre-processed for removing unwanted data necessary features were extracted and trained by the proposed model (Decision Tree and Resnet101) and performances were compared with existing models. The trained model is tested with different ratios of test datasets to categorize different CVD risk levels of OSA [31-36].



Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the proposed analysis.

#### 3. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

Using the mathematical equation shown in Table 2 below, depicts performance evaluation of suggested model with various datasets was determined.

Performance Measures	Expression	Notes
Accuracy	$\frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$	How often classifier makes correct prediction
Specificity	$\frac{TN}{TN + FP}$	Exact negative predictions out of observed true negatives
Recall (Sensitivity)	$\frac{TP}{T P + FN} * 100$	Classifier's ability to predict correct class
TP – True Posi False Negative	tive, TN- True Negative	e, FP- False Positive, FN-

Table 2: Validation metrics formulas

#### Table 3: ML classifiers in OSA and CVD detection and classification under a testing scenario of 50% testing data and 50% training data comparison

Algorithm	Accurac y (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Reference
SVM	70	70	75.3	[25]
DT	80	82.4	81.3	[14]
RF	78.3	75.2	74.2	[26]
NB	75	75.3	75.4	[27]
ANN	72.5	72.2	74.4	[28]
1D-CNN	76.5	74.3	72.6	[29]
VGG16	69	70	71	[30]
ResNet50	77	74.5	72.7	[31]
ResNet100	78	78	79	[31]
ResNet101	80	80.5	80.4	[31]



Fig. 2. Comparison of ML classifiers in OSA and CVD detection and classification under a testing scenario of 50% testing data and 50% training data.

Table 3 and Figure 2 illustrate the overall performance obtained for the 50:50 ratio data (50% data under testing and 50% data under training). Among all machine learning classifiers, the DT model achieved better compared to other models with a high accuracy of 80%, high sensitivity of 82.4%, and high specificity of 81.3%. Similarly, among all deep learning classifiers, the ResNet101 classifier achieved a higher accuracy of 80%, high sensitivity of 80.5%, and high specificity of 80.4% than other classifiers.



Fig. 3. Comparison of ML classifiers in OSA and CVD detection and classification under a testing scenario of 60% testing data and 40% training data

Figure 3 illustrates the overall performance obtained for the 60:60 data ratio (60% data under testing and 40% data under training). Among all machine classifiers, the DT model achieved 80.5% accuracy, 82.4% sensitivity, and 81.3% specificity compared to other classifiers. Similarly, among all deep learning classifiers, the ResNet101 classifier achieved 81% accuracy, 81% sensitivity, and 82% specificity compared to other classifiers.



Fig. 4. Comparison of ML classifiers in OSA and CVD detection and classification under a testing scenario of 70% testing data and 30% training data

Figure 4 illustrates the overall performance obtained for the 70:30 ratio data (70% data under testing and 30% data under training). Among all machine classifiers, the DT model achieved 84.5% accuracy, 81.4% sensitivity, and 80.3% specificity compared to other classifiers. Similarly, among all deep learning classifiers, the ResNet101 classifier achieved 83% accuracy, 82% sensitivity, and 81% specificity compared to other classifiers.



Fig. 5. Comparison of ML classifiers in OSA and CVD detection and classification under a testing scenario of 80% testing data and 20% training data

Figure 5 illustrates the overall performance obtained for the 80:20 ratio data (80% data under testing and 20% data under training). Among all machine classifiers, the DT model achieved an increased accuracy of 85.5%, 83.4% high sensitivity, and 82.3% specificity relative to other classifiers. In a similar vein, the ResNet101 classifier outperformed all previous deep learning classifiers, achieving better accuracy (84%), high sensitivity (84.5%), and high specificity (83.5%).

## 4. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

PSG data from SHHS is used to determine the best-fit learning algorithm for CVD risk prediction of OSA individuals. The utilization of ML and DL modules on endurance improvement has been illustrated. As per the comprehensive study of these modules, OSA and CVD detection and classification performance can be improved more in terms of performance metrics. Under different testing and training data ratios, the ML classifier (DT) achieved 82.625% accuracy, 82.4% in sensitivity, and 81.3% in specificity on average. In the same manner, the DL classifier (ResNet101) achieved 82 % accuracy, 82% in sensitivity, and 81.725% in specificity on average. So, among all the 10 models that were tried with the SHHS dataset, Decision Tree and Resnet101 are the bestperforming models for classifying CVD risk levels of patients having sleep apnea. However, the model can only predict heart-related problems in patients with sleep apnea. The model cannot predict all other heart-related disorders. To improve the performance further, these two model algorithms can be ensemble into the hybrid model and the performance can be compared with other hybrid models as a future study.

# REFERENCES

- Young, T.; Peppard, P.E.; and Gottlieb D.J. 2002. Epidemiology of obstructive sleep apnea: a population health perspective. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 165:1217–39.
- [2] Mooe, T.; Franklin, K.A.; Wiklund, U.; Rabben, T.; and Holmstrom, K. 2000. Sleep-disordered breathing and myocardial ischemia in patients with coronary artery disease. Chest. 117:1597–602
- [3] Javaheri, S.; Parker, T.J.; and Liming, J.D. 1998. Sleep apnea in 81 ambulatory male patients with stable heart failure: types and their prevalences, consequences, and presentations. Circulation. 97:2154–9.
- [4] Ao, Li.; Janet, M.; Roveda, Linda, S.; Powers, Stuart, F.; and Quan. 2022. Obstructive sleep apnea predicts 10-year cardiovascular disease-related mortality in the Sleep Heart Health Study: a machine learning approach, Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine. 18(2)
- [5] Hammal, A. A.; Bonney, T.; Nassan W. A.; and Obaideen, K. 2022. Sleep Apnea detecting based on ECG and EEG signals using Discrete Wavelet Transform and Artificial Neural Network The 3rd International Conference on Distributed Sensing and Intelligent Systems (ICDSIS 2022). Hybrid Conference. 30-39.
- [6] Qatmh M. 2022. Sleep Apnea Detection Based on ECG Signals Using Discrete Wavelet Transform and Artificial Neural Network. Advances in Science and Engineering Technology International Conferences (ASET). 1-5.
- [7] Himali Singh; Rajesh Kumar Tripathy; and Ram Bilas Pachori. 2020. Detection of sleep apnea from heartbeat interval and ECG-derived respiration signals using sliding mode singular spectrum analysis. Digital Signal Processing. 104(102796).
- [8] Sharma, Hemant, and K. K. Sharma. 2016. An algorithm for sleep apnea detection from single-lead ECG using Hermite basis functions. Computers in biology and medicine. 77: 116-124.
- [9] Jin, Katie; and Sikha Bagui. 2020. Apache Spark SVM for Predicting Obstructive Sleep Apnea. Big Data and Cognitive Computing. 4(4): 25.
- [10] Li, Xilin.; Frank, Leung, H.F.; Steven, Su.; and Sai, Ho, Ling.

2022. Sleep Apnea Detection Using Multi-Error-Reduction Classification System with Multiple Bio-Signals. Sensors. 22(15). 5560.

- [11] Roozbeh, Atri and Maryam, Mohebbi. 1963. Obstructive sleep apnea detection using spectrum and bispectrum analysis of single-lead ECG signal.
- [12] Varon, C.; Caicedo, A.; Testelmans, D.; Buyse, B.; and Van, Huffel, S. 2015. A Novel Algorithm for the Automatic Detection of Sleep Apnea from Single-Lead ECG. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 62(9):2269-2278.
- [13] Al-Angari, H.M.; and Sahakian, A.V. 2012. Automated recognition of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome using support vector machine classifier. IEEE Trans Inf Technol Biomed.16(3):463-8.
- [14] Rohan, R.; and Kumari, L. V. R. 2021. Classification of Sleep Apneas using Decision Tree Classifier. 5th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS). 1310-1316.
- [15] Mosquera-Lopez, C.; Leitschuh, J.; Condon, J.; Hagen, C.C.; Hanks, C.; and Jacobs, P.G. 2018. In-Home Sleep Apnea Severity Classification using Contact-free Load Cells and an Ada Boosted Decision Tree Algorithm. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 6044-6047.
- [16] Grace, Suji, A.; and Anto, Kumar, R.P. 2022. Deep learningbased health data analysis to predict cardiovascular disease. International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education, 8(4).
- [17] Mostafa, S. S.; Carvalho, J. P.; Morgado-Dias, F.; and Ravelo-García, A. 2017. Optimization of sleep apnea detection using SpO2 and ANN. 2017 XXVI International Conference on Information, Communication and Automation Technologies (ICAT), 1-6.
- [18] Bai, Yunxiang.; Luqiao, Zhang.; Dechao, Wan.; Yu, Xie.; and Hanghang, Deng. 2021. Detection of sleep apnea syndrome by CNN based on ECG. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series. 1757(1), p. 012043
- [19] Arlene. John.; Barry, Cardiff.; Deepu, John.; 2021. A 1D-CNN Based Deep Learning Technique for Sleep Apnea Detection in IoT Sensors. IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS).
- [20] Chang.; Hung-Yu.; Cheng-Yu, Yeh.; Chung-Te, Lee.; and Chun-Cheng, Lin. 2020. A sleep apnea detection system based on a one-dimensional deep convolution neural network model using single-lead electrocardiogram. Sensors. 20(15): 4157.
- [21] Chang, H-Y, Yeh C-Y, Lee C-T, Lin C-C. A Sleep Apnea Detection System Based on a One-Dimensional Deep Convolution Neural Network Model Using Single-Lead Electrocardiogram. Sensors. 2020; 20(15):4157. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20154157
- [22] Sajja, T.K.; and Kalluri, H.K. 2020. A deep learning method for prediction of cardiovascular disease using convolutional neural network. Revue d'Intelligence Artificielle. 34(5):601-606.
- [23] Dey, D.; Chaudhuri, S.; and Munshi, S. 2017. Obstructive sleep apnea detection using convolutional neural networkbased deep learning framework. Biomed Eng Lett. 8(1):95-100.
- [24] Barnes, L.D.; Lee, K.; Kempa-Liehr, A.W.; and Hallum, L.E. 2022. Detection of sleep apnea from single-channel electroencephalogram (EEG) using an explainable

convolutional neural network (CNN). PLoS ONE 17(9).

- [25] Deviaene, M.; Testelmans, D.; Buyse, B. Borzée, P.; Van, Huffel, S.; and Varon, C. 2019. Automatic Screening of Sleep Apnea Patients Based on the SpO2 Signal. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics. 23(2).607-617.
- [26] Nano, M. M.; Long, X.; Werth, J.; Aarts, R. M.; and Heusdens, R. 2015. Sleep apnea detection using timedelayed heart rate variability. 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 7679-7682.
- [27] Sharma, S. K.; Sharma, N. K.; and Potter, P. P. Fusion Approach for Document Classification using Random Forest and SVM. 2020. 9th International Conference System Modeling and Advancement in Research Trends (SMART), 231-234.
- [28] Memis, G.; and Sert, M. 2017. Multimodal Classification of Obstructive Sleep Apnea Using Feature Level Fusion. IEEE 11th International Conference on Semantic Computing (ICSC). 85-88.
- [29] Amina, Khan.; Sumeet, Gupta.; and Sachin, Kumar, Gupta. 2024. UAV-Enabled Disaster Management: Applications, Open Issues, and Challenges. GMSARN International Journal. 18(1): 44-53.
- [30] Jeon, Y.; Heo, K.; and Kang, S. J. 2020. Real-Time Sleep Apnea Diagnosis Method Using Wearable Device without External Sensors. IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops (PerCom Workshops). 1-5.
- [31] John, A.; Cardiff, B.; and John, D. 2021. A 1D-CNN Based Deep Learning Technique for Sleep Apnea Detection in IoT Sensors. IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS). 1-5.
- [32] Santosh, Kumar.; Sunil, Kumar.; Mithilesh, Kumar, Chaube.; Sachin, Kumar, Gupta.; and Saket, R. K. 2023. Role of Mathematical Modelling and Learning Techniques for Privacy Preservation. GMSARN International Journal. 17(1), 96-110.
- [33] Bahrami, M.; and Forouzanfar, M. 2022. Sleep Apnea Detection from Single-Lead ECG: A Comprehensive Analysis of Machine Learning and Deep Learning Algorithms. IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement. 71:1-11.
- [34] Vinod Kumar, Sachin Kumar Gupta, Abid Hussain, Amit Sharma, "A systematic approach to prevent threats using IDS in IoT based devices", GMSARN International Journal, 19(01), 107-112, 2025.
- [35] Shanmugham, A.; Ananda, Srivatsa, B. V. S.; Gopikrishnan, K.; Chandra, V. N.; and Santhosh Kumar, C. 2021. Sleep Apnea Detection Using ResNet. 12th International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT), 1-4.
- [36] Susmita, Mondal.; Mehak, Shafi.; Sumeet, Gupta.; and Sachin, Kumar, Gupta. 2022. Blockchain-based Secure Architecture for Electronic Healthcare Record Management. GMSARN International Journal. 16 (4): 413-426.
- [37] Anand, Kumar, K.S.; Kumari, Sarita.; Sachin, Kumar.; Saket, R. K.; and Akshay Swami. 2022. Machine Learningbased Approach for Prevention of COVID-19 using Steam Vaporizer. GMSARN International Journal. 16: 399-404.

[38] Modi, Praveen.; and Yugal, Kumar. 2024. Detection of Diabetic Retinopathy Using BA-Deep Forest Based Intelligent Model. GMSARN International Journal 18: 455-471.